A rule-breaking vision of future

Microsoft is embracing a fragmented view of the future, in which no single device, or even single category of devices, reigns supreme, says Farhad Manjoo



five years from now. Now, check your pockets and your desk. Which devices are you using? It's very likely you have a smart-phone. But is your second device an iPadlike tablet or a more traditional PC? Or perhaps you have a laptop-tablet hybrid, like Microsoft's Surface? Or maybe you don't own a second device, because your phone is powerful enough. Or you might have everything, because that's how you roll in our hypothetical future.

OK, open your eyes and get back to the present. If you had any trouble choosing. you have a taste of the dilemmus facing Apple, Google and Microsoft, the three players waging an epic battle for the fu-

ture of computing. Technology now allows for loss of pow erful, compelling computing devices in a wide runge of sizes and shapes. Just about everyone in the industry believes the smartphone will remain the dominant computing device for the foreseeable fa-ture. But second place looks entirely up for grabs, and the giants are jockeying to make that one other device that we'll all

use along with our phones.

Apple has the iPnd and the Mac, while Google has Android tablets and its hromebook line of Web-centric laptops. But the most interesting new addition

to the game is Microsoft, suddenly re-en-ergized after suffering a long decline in the personal companer huniness.

Under Satya Nadella, who became Mi-crosoft's chief executive early last year, Microsoft is embracing a fragmented vision of the future, in which no single device, or even a single category of devices, reigns supreme. The plan is a bit crary and rife with internal and external tensions. That doesn't mean it can't work. The future is unpredictable, so why not try a bunch of good stuff and see what sticks?

At a recent news conference that electrified not just Twitter but even some peo-ple in the real world, Microsoft wowed its loyalists (yes, there are Microsoft fu-natics) with a number of new products that exemplify this idea. I've been using two of them—the Surface Pro 4, a tablet-PC hybrid that starts at about \$1,030 (Rn 67,331) if you include a keyboard cover, and the Surface Book, a \$1,500 (Rs

98,054) laptop with a screen that can be jettisuned to become a tablet — for the better part of a week.

On the whole. I found them to be very nice. But is either one good enough to be that one other thing that most of us will want in a smartphone-dominated future? Well, that's the twist. The more I used

Microsoft's new machines, the more I thought that perhaps no single kind of device is destined to win the war for second place to the smartphone. Perhaps the very idea that there will be a second-

place winner is a mistake.

What if the future of computing is chaos? We'll have smartphones and then a dizzying array of desktops, luptops, tablets and hybrid devices—and different people, for different reasons, will

noose different sets of each. Migrosoft's devices — and in a larger sense, Nadella's strat-egy — anticipate such chaos. For much of its history, Microsoft was mainly a software company. It made Windows the operating system that be-came the lingua franca of the PC ern, and it made Office, the soft-ware that made those Window machines useful to businesses.

Microsoft's new plan is to still make Windows and software for Windows, which it licenses to other hardware mak which their machines — but now it also makes its own phones and Surface de-vices, and it makes applications for iOS, Apple's mobile operating system. No other company in the industry op-

erases quite this expansively. Apple makes hardware and software, but just about all of its products are integrated; Apple's software works on Apple's hard-ware, and in most cases nowhere else.

Google males the Android and Chrome OS operating systems that it gives away free to other companies, and it also makes a hundful of Nexus phones and tablets. But because it doesn't sell in devices for a profit and barely marken, them, Google's hardware partners don't seem to mind its device business.

The modern Microsoft breaks all these rules: Its Surface devices compete with PCs made by other Windows hardware makers. Its non-Windows applications.

like the iOS version of Office, impre the Apple devices that compete with Mi-crosoft and its Windows hardware mak-

You could say Nadella is fostering com-petition between all of Microsoft's divisions. You could also say he's setting up the company as a circular firing squad. Another, perhaps deeper problem is the mixed messages Microsoft is sending to customers. Jan Dawson, an industry analyst, pointed out that when Microsoft released the Surface Pro 3 last year, it old the device as a "no-compromise" abler that doubled as a skinny, MacBook Air-life laptop. But with the Surface Book,

oft is now releasing a more traditional-looking luptop alongside the Surface

Pro.
"If the Surface Pro was a tablet that could replace your laptop, why would you need this laptop?" Dawson asked. If there are costs to Microsoft's do-

everything strategy, there are also some potentially huge benefits. Microsoft could emerge as a dominant hardware maker, and perhaps uncover market niches that we didn't know existed.

Note that the Surface started out shaleily for Microsoft when the first version was released in 2012. At that time, many in the industry believed stand-alone tablets like the iPad would see indefinite growth. Microsoft was betting on an unal middle path.

It took some time for the Surface to gain traction. But Microsoft kept working at the device and its software, and the incremental fixes began to reveal the fun-damental utility of a tablet that could be

These improvements came as the sales of the iPad hit a wall. Perhaps the ultimate endorsement of Microsoft's strategy came this summer, when Apple unveiled the iPad Pro - a large-screen tablet with a keyboard and a stylus, just like the Sur-

The Surface Book: Microsoft's new lantop, could prove similarly influential. For one thing, it should spur Windows PC makers to do something they've long neg-lected — make good products for a

For years, most PC makers have chased low prices by forgetting about user experience; they we larded their machines with preinstalled adware, failed tests of basic functionality (Windows trackpads were difficult to use) and abandoned customer

service. That's why Apple dominates the high end of the PC business, and why Mac sales have grown as the rest of the PC business has de-

The Surface Book, by contrast, is a genuinely fantastic machine -a light, fast, solid laptop with a dazzling display that, on the whole, feels every bit as thoughtfully crafted as anything bearing the bitten-Apple logo. Its

trackpad is a pleasure to use, not a trudge. And while I'm not sure that I need a laptop that can double as a tablet, the fact this one can do so effortlessly is a bonus. It is, at the least, a demonstration of Mi-

crosoft's hardware engineering prowess. This suggests the ultimate goal of Microsoft's new devices: They spark excite ment for Microsoft more generally. My wife, who trusts the Apple brand blindly, picked up the Surface Book the other day

and was amuzed at how good it was.
The path from such excitement to dominance is hardly guaranteed. Mi-crosoft still lacks a compelling presence on mobile devices, and the best it can hope for is that excitement for devices like the Surface Book and Office on IOS gets people to take a second look at Win-

Today, that sounds like a stretch. But the future is wide open. Microsoft is tak-ing the first necessary step to tackle than funare Making really great devices. International New York Times